



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/458,297	12/10/1999	JOHN FIKES	18623-014500	8696		
28393 7	28393 7590 05/03/2004			EXAMINER		
STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX P.L.L.C.			SCHWADRON, RONALD B			
	1100 NEW YORK AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,		1644			
				DATE MAILED: 05/03/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/458,297	FIKES ET AL.
Office Action Sun	nmary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Ron Schwadron, Ph.D.	1644
The MAILING DATE of this Period for Reply	s communication appea	ars on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY IN THE MAILING DATE OF THIS CONTROL - Extensions of time may be available under after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date. If the period for reply specified above is less	COMMUNICATION. the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(te of this communication. ss than thirty (30) days, a reply w te maximum statutory period will beriod for reply will, by statute, ca three months after the mailing da	a). In no event, however, may a re ithin the statutory minimum of thirty apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT ause the application to become ABA	rply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1) Responsive to communication	ation(s) filed on .		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.	<u> </u>	ction is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in	, —		ers, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with		_	•
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>41-145</u> is/are pe	nding in the application		
4a) Of the above claim(s)			consideration.
5) Claim(s) is/are allo	wed.		
6) Claim(s) is/are reje	cted.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objection	ected to.		
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>41,42,51-53,74-8</u>	88 are subject to restric	tion and/or election requi	irement.
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected	ed to by the Examiner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on	is/are: a)∏ accep	ted or b)□ objected to b	y the Examiner.
Applicant may not request th	at any objection to the dra	awing(s) be held in abeyand	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet	s) including the correction	n is required if the drawing(s	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is	objected to by the Exar	niner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made a) All b) Some * c) □ I 1. □ Certified copies of t			119(a)-(d) or (f).
2. Certified copies of t	he priority documents h	nave been received in Ap	plication No
3. Copies of the certification	ed copies of the priority	documents have been r	eceived in this National Stage
	International Bureau (• • • •	
* See the attached detailed C	Office action for a list of	the certified copies not re	eceived.
Address to the second s			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Λ. Π	
2) 🔲 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawir	ng Review (PTO-948)		ımmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date
3) 🔲 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (F	PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Info	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date		6) 🔲 Other:	

Application/Control Number: 09/458,297

Art Unit: 1644

. سکر

1. Applicant's election of Group II and the peptide KTCPVQLWV in the paper filed 12/3/2003 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

- 2. Claims 43-50,54-73,89-145 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in paper filed 12/3/2003.
- 3. The amendment filed 2/6/2004 has necessitated the following additional species election requirement.
- 4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention.

The peptide of f claim 51 or 52 or 53. These peptides have distinct lengths and different sequences.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

- 5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention.
 - a) a peptide fused to a T helper peptide
 - b) a peptide/ liposome
 - c) a lipidized peptide
 - d) a peptide fused to a linker
 - e) a peptide fused to a carrier
 - f) a peptide fusion peptide
 - g) a peptide homopolymer
 - h) a peptide heteropolymer

These molecules are functionally distinct and contain molecules that are chemically distinct.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for

Application/Control Number: 09/458,297

Art Unit: 1644

prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

6. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ron Schwadron, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571 272-0851. The examiner can normally be reached Monday to Thursday from 7:30am to 6:00pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan, can be reached at 571 272 0841. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 09/458,297

Art Unit: 1644

RONALD B. SCHWADRO PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1800 (6~

.

Ron Schwadron, Ph.D. Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1644